Self-Study Design

Queens College
# Table of Contents

I. Institutional Overview .......................................................................................................................... 1
   - Brief History ..................................................................................................................................... 1
   - Programs of Study ............................................................................................................................... 1
   - Faculty Profile ................................................................................................................................... 2
   - Student Population ............................................................................................................................. 2
   - Student Achievement ......................................................................................................................... 3
   - Economic Mobility and Student Success ............................................................................................ 4
   - Recent Developments and Challenges Facing the Institution ......................................................... 4
   - Mission, Values, and Strategic Goals .................................................................................................. 6
   - Queens College Strategic Plan 2021-26 ........................................................................................... 8
   - CUNY Strategic Roadmap 2023-2030 ............................................................................................... 9
   - Plan Implementation ......................................................................................................................... 10

II. Institutional Priorities to be Addressed in the Self-Study ................................................................. 11
   - Institutional Priority 1 ....................................................................................................................... 11
   - Institutional Priority 2 ....................................................................................................................... 12
   - Institutional Priority 3 ....................................................................................................................... 12
   - Institutional Priority Mapping ........................................................................................................... 12

III. Intended Outcomes of the Self-Study .............................................................................................. 15

IV. Self-Study Approach ......................................................................................................................... 17

V. Organizational Structure of the Steering Committee and Working Groups ..................................... 18
   - Executive Steering Committee ......................................................................................................... 18
   - Steering Committee ........................................................................................................................... 18
   - Communication .................................................................................................................................. 19
   - Working Groups ............................................................................................................................... 19
   - Charge to All Working Groups .......................................................................................................... 20
   - Standard I: Mission and Goals .......................................................................................................... 20
   - Standard II: Ethics and Integrity ......................................................................................................... 21
   - Standard III: Design and Delivery of the Student Learning Experience .......................................... 22
   - Standard IV: Support of the Student Experience .............................................................................. 23
   - Standard V: Educational Effectiveness Assessment ........................................................................... 23
I. Institutional Overview

Brief History

Queens College was founded in 1937 as "The College of the Future," an independent public institution serving the Borough of Queens. It was founded on the vision of people like Mayor Fiorella LaGuardia, Judge Charles Colden, who lobbied for a public college in the borough, and the College’s first president, Paul Klapper, who noted on the first day of classes, on October 11, 1937, that “We are the pioneers of a new institution. We have no history to look back to, but we can look forward to progress.”

As part of the City University of New York, the College’s roots can be traced back to 1847 with the founding of the Free Academy. Its mission then, as it is now, was one of access, to “educate the whole people”. The Free Academy later became City College, and together with its branches, Hunter College, Brooklyn College, and Queens College, would form the senior colleges of the City University of New York. When Governor Nelson A. Rockefeller signed CUNY into law in 1961, he declared education was democracy’s “lifeblood”. Queens College was one of the inaugural seven colleges of CUNY.

Queens College has always been dedicated to the idea that a first-rate education should be accessible to talented people of all backgrounds and financial means. Civic engagement and service to the community has also been an ongoing commitment. President Klapper adopted the motto that is still in use today, “Discimus ut serviamus”, which translates to “We learn so that we may serve.” These themes resonate throughout Queens College’s history, from its long history of educating teachers and other education professionals, to the involvement of Queens College’s faculty, staff, and students in the Civil Rights movement, to its current mission, values and strategic goals, and in the priorities identified for this Self-Study.

Queens College seeks to prepare students to serve as innovative leaders in a diverse world that they will make more equitable and inclusive. The College accomplishes this by offering a rigorous education in the liberal arts and sciences under the guidance of a faculty dedicated to teaching and expanding the frontiers of knowledge. Students also can take advantage of the College’s numerous opportunities to study abroad and to intern with leading companies and top researchers. Queens College students graduate with the ability to think critically, address complex problems, explore various cultures, and use modern technologies and information resources.

Programs of Study

The College offers over 200 undergraduate degree programs, over 100 master’s degrees, 64 advanced certificate programs, and 27 accelerated master’s programs which allow students to begin graduate studies while completing their baccalaureate. The College has four academic schools: Arts and Humanities, Education, Mathematics and the Natural Sciences, and Social Sciences. Each school is divided into academic departments and programs, each with its own chair/ director and the faculty members who teach within it.

The School of Arts and Humanities which encompasses the Queens College School of the Arts, includes the departments of Art; Classical, Middle Eastern, and Asian Languages and Cultures; Comparative Literature; Drama, Theatre, and Dance; English; European Languages and Literatures; Hispanic Languages and Literatures; Library; Linguistics and Communication Disorders; Media Studies; and the Aaron Copland School of Music; and Honors in the Humanities.
The School of Education includes the departments of Elementary and Early Childhood Education; Educational and Community Programs; and Secondary Education and Youth Services, which includes the TIME 2000 honors program in secondary education mathematics.

The School of Mathematics and the Natural Sciences includes the departments of Biology; Chemistry and Biochemistry; Computer Science; Family, Nutrition, and Exercise Sciences; Mathematics; Physics; Psychology; and the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences; and Honors in the Mathematical and Natural Sciences.

The School of Social Sciences, which encompasses the Queens College School of Business, includes the departments of Accounting and Information Systems; Anthropology; Economics; History; Philosophy; Political Science; Sociology; Urban Studies; and the Graduate School of Library and Information Studies; and Honors in the Social Sciences.

The College also offers many interdisciplinary programs in areas such as Africana Studies, Jewish Studies, Greek and Byzantine Studies, Latin American and Latino Studies, and Women and Gender Studies. The College is home to many centers and institutes which focus their work on various pressing social issues facing the local communities, students, faculty and the many ethnic and religious groups of the Queens area. These include the Asian American/Asian Research Institute, John D. Calandra Italian American Institute, the Barry Commoner Center for Health and the Environment (formerly the Center for the Biology of Natural Systems), the Center for Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies, the Center for Jewish Studies; and The Center for Ethnic, Racial and Religious Understanding.

Faculty Profile

As of Fall 2023, Queens College had an outstanding faculty of 621 full-time and 750 part-time teachers and scholars. 75% hold a doctorate. They have been the recipients of numerous fellowships and research grants, including prestigious Guggenheim and Fulbright awards. There are currently eleven Distinguished Professors on the Queens College faculty; appointed by the City University to the highest rank in the system, they are known for their superior research, scholarship, and teaching. They have held the title of Distinguished Professor in fields as diverse as biology, chemistry and biochemistry, economics, English, earth and environmental sciences, history, Italian American studies, mathematics, physics, psychology, sociology, and urban studies.

Student Population

As of January 2024, over 15,700 students attend Queens College, about 85% undergraduate and 15% graduate students. They represent a vibrant mix of cultures; they hail from over 150 countries and speak 93 languages and dialects, providing an extraordinary educational environment. Of the students who provided information about family background (those completing FAFSA), 48% reported being the first generation in their families to attend college. 40% are underrepresented minorities and a third report that their native language is other than English. Almost half are Pell grant recipients. Queens College is both a Hispanic Serving Institute and an Asian American and Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institution.

About three quarters of undergraduates attend full time, and over 80% are under age 25. 76% are from the Borough of Queens, while the remainder hail primarily from Long Island immediately to the east of Queens. 32% are Asian American, 29% Hispanic, 20% White and 9.5% Black. 51% of undergraduates were women. 36% are transfer students. Computer Science is currently the most popular major, followed by Psychology, Accounting, Biology and Accounting. 70% of graduate students are female. Most graduate students attend part
time, and the average age is 29. The largest program is Library Science, followed by TESOL and Special Education.

**Student Achievement**

Recent Queens College graduates have won fellowships, scholarships, and assistantships for study at many leading graduate schools, including Harvard, Yale, Columbia, Duke, Sarah Lawrence, MIT, the University of Cambridge, Imperial College, London, and SOAS, University of London. A number of our students have received prestigious awards for graduate or undergraduate study, including Salk Fellowships, Marshall Scholarships, Goldwater Scholarships, NSF awards, Beinecke Scholarships, a Truman Scholarship, a Clark Foundation Fellowship, a National Security Education Program (NSEP) Boren Scholarship, a Rangel Scholarship, Benjamin A. Gilman Scholarships, and a remarkably high number of Fulbright Grants.

Queens has historically had retention rates for full-time first-time freshman in the range of 80 to 85%. That figure has fallen since the pandemic. The most recent figure available, for 2021, was 77%. The most recent four-year graduation rate, for the 2018 entering class, was 33%, and the six-year rate was 53% for the class which entered in 2016.

### Retention Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (Fall)</th>
<th>Cohort Count</th>
<th>One-Year Retention for Full-time First-time Freshmen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1453</td>
<td>83.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1639</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2246</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2192</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2041</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/oira.cuny/viz/StudentDataBook/Enrollment

### Graduation Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Full-time First-time Freshmen</th>
<th>Transfer Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cohort Count</td>
<td>4 Year Graduation Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1427</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1453</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1639</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/oira.cuny/viz/StudentDataBook/Enrollment
Economic Mobility and Student Success

Queens College is one of the top institutions in the nation in enhancing its graduates' economic mobility. In 2018, the Chronicle of Higher Education ranked QC in the top 1% of all colleges, public and private, for moving students into the middle class or higher, and was listed 11th among the top 20 four-year public colleges. The ranking was based on a study by Stanford economist Raj Chetty, which looked at how well colleges helped students whose parents were in the bottom 20 percent of income levels reach the top 20 percent for individual earnings (https://www.nber.org/papers/w23618). More recently, in 2022, College Net ranked QC 7th in the country in terms of social mobility (https://www1.cuny.edu/mu/forum/2022/11/09/eleven-cuny-colleges-ranked-for-promoting-students-social-mobility/).

Queens College is also proud of the fact that our students graduate with little debt, thanks to our affordable tuition and federal and state support for eligible students via Pell, and the New York Excelsior Scholarship and Tuition Assistance Program. But those programs largely support full time students, and there are limits on eligibility. For us to continue these accomplishments, and to spread the success to more students, we need to find ways for students to graduate in a timely manner, allowing them to enter the workforce or graduate school sooner, and avoid accumulating debt. For that reason, in 2017, under former President Félix Matos Rodríguez, Queens College established the “QC in 4” graduation guarantee, the only such program within CUNY. All first-time full-time freshmen are automatically enrolled, and are guided to choose their coursework wisely, and to graduate in four years. 68% of our students remain in the “QC in 4” program until their 4th year and participation in this program results in a 10% increase in the 4-year graduation rate for these students. Combined with our wide variety of accelerated master’s programs, students can get a head start on graduate studies, and save money on their graduate tuition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QC in 4 Data</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Count</td>
<td>QC in 4</td>
<td>Not QC in 4</td>
<td>QC in 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,463</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention Rate - 1 Yr</td>
<td>85.50%</td>
<td>74.40%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention Rate - 2 Yr</td>
<td>75.40%</td>
<td>63.10%</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate - 3 Yr</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>10.20%</td>
<td>3.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate - 4 Yr</td>
<td>38.10%</td>
<td>29.00%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% QC in 4 as of Last Term</td>
<td>43.00%</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest GPA &gt;= 2.00</td>
<td>88.30%</td>
<td>82.40%</td>
<td>86.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: https://tableau.qc.cuny.edu/#/views/QCin4-ProfileofFreshmen/QC4Metrics?;iid=1

Recent Developments and Challenges Facing the Institution

Queens College has experienced significant leadership transitions in the last few years. Following the appointment of former President Félix Matos Rodriguez as Chancellor of the CUNY System, President Frank Wu was appointed in 2020, the first Asian American to lead QC, and one of the first two Asian / Asian American presidents in the system. In 2022, the long-time Provost retired, and a Provost search is currently underway. Queens College has also experienced the “Great Resignation” seen in much of the United States.
Queens College is currently experiencing significant enrollment challenges. Queens College grew to about 20,000 students and maintained that enrollment throughout the 2010s. We have been aware for some time that given the expected demographic transition, and the projections for declining high school enrollment in the Northeast, New York State, and especially in New York City public schools, Queens College would likely face declining enrollments and increased competition. This was exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Since reaching a peak of 19,923 in 2019, enrollments both at the graduate and undergraduate level have declined by close to 20%, with the largest drop occurring from 2021 to 2022. This mirrors the experience of most of the senior colleges in CUNY (baccalaureate and/or master's level). Additionally, the CUNY community colleges have experienced extremely sharp declines in enrollment, forecasting future challenges for QC and other institutions with significant transfer populations.

The COVID-19 pandemic has presented serious challenges to the US education system at all levels and raised concerns about student disengagement and learning disruption. Anecdotally, instructors report experiencing this in their classrooms after the period of remote instruction during the pandemic's height. Although it is too soon to tell the long-term impact, one leading indicator is the one-year retention rate for first time freshmen, which has declined from 83% in 2019 to 77% in 2021. More research will be needed to determine the causes of this decline and the pandemic's long-term impact on retention and graduation rates, and student success.

Queens College is facing budgetary stringency as well, and those pressures have increased recently. The composition of the student body altered significantly in the early 21st century, with a mix of fewer graduate students and more undergraduates, with negative consequences for the financial model. This had already put stress on the budget. The recent enrollment declines, and CUNY-wide and statewide financial challenges have increased those stresses. Like most institutions of higher education in the public sector, Queens College devotes most of its budget to instruction and has little flexibility to adapt in the short term. Financial pressures have made it difficult to replace and retain key faculty and staff personnel. The College faced hiring freezes during
the pandemic, and in 2023, in February and again in December, which led to the reduction of adjunct hiring in Fall 2023 and non-renewal of several substitute faculty appointments in early 2024. Though these decisions were painful for our community, they have allowed Queens College to make significant strides in narrowing our structural deficit.

Finally, like many US colleges and universities, global events have had a significant effect on campus, and raise questions about the purpose of higher education and our ideals: supporting freedom of expression and academic freedom, and the role of colleges and universities in serving as advocates and providing public fora for all sides of contentious issues. Our country feels increasingly divided, and this affects the College, from the Black Lives Matter protests, electoral unrest, and more recently the conflict in the Middle East that has led to ongoing campus protests at QC in the Fall of 2023 and Spring of 2024. The COVID-19 pandemic has also significantly impacted the campus, as it has so many others. Being forced to pivot abruptly to online learning was deeply disruptive, and the echoes of that persist. Decreased retention, learning loss, and mental health issues are all problems that faculty and staff must address.

The College and the larger community around it are extremely diverse. In many ways this is an asset, because when different constituencies interact and see one another’s point of view, conflict becomes less likely. However, the campus mirrors the political and cultural divisions in our country. Ensuring that societal conflicts do not spill over is a difficult task. The College must continue to provide all students with a sense of safety and belonging, and emphasize not only academic skills, but social skills, persistence and resilience, communication skills, and teach students to advocate for themselves, individually and socially, as learners, and as proponents for change.

**Mission, Values, and Strategic Goals**

Shortly after President Frank Wu became the President of Queens College in 2020, he began a year-long process of engaging the QC community. This culminated in the implementation of the QC Strategic Plan in 2021. This was a wide ranging, evidence-based inclusive process, with input from every part of QC’s constituencies, students, faculty, staff, alumni and the local community at more than 72 town halls. As part of this effort, the QC mission statement was updated and refined, reflecting the College’s ongoing commitment to access for students from all backgrounds, to service and civic engagement, and to a liberal arts education, exploring what that means in the 21st century, particularly in light of the challenging climate for public higher education and the environmental factors that the College must consider. For the first time, Queens College explicitly defined its core values, and those guided the process of adopting the five pillars of the strategic plan. The goal of this process was a college community invested in the plan, enhanced progress on the College’s strategic goals, and ultimately greater student success.

Under the direction of the Interim Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, Patricia Price, all Director-level and executives under Academic Affairs met for an inaugural, day-long annual retreat in the summer of 2023. At that retreat, a collaborative exercise engaged all present in drafting a mission statement for Academic Affairs. This was subsequently refined and shared with all faculty for their feedback in the fall of 2023. Faculty input was incorporated, and the final Academic Affairs mission statement was posted on the Provost’s website. Other units within the College, academic departments as well as academic support and student support offices, are currently engaging in developing new or updating existing mission statements.
Mission

The mission of Queens College is to prepare students to serve as innovative leaders in a diverse world that they make more equitable and inclusive. We do this by recognizing every student’s potential and facilitating opportunities to achieve it. We guide students to determine their desired paths forward that are in service to the ways they define their community or communities. We prepare undergraduate and graduate students through rigorous academics and provide support so that students can complete their courses of study and find the right path after graduation. We take an aspirational yet practical approach to liberal arts and professional education: engaging students in learning, knowledge creation, and cocurricular activities that broaden their minds while giving them tangible skills to succeed in careers and life. The mission of the Office of Academic Affairs is to promote an exceptional and accessible culture of academic excellence that prepares a diverse student body for successful careers, advanced studies, service, and leadership in their communities while fostering creativity, innovation in teaching, learning, creative arts and research.

Values

Service and civic engagement. “We Learn So That We May Serve” is our motto. We educate all students to lead lives of service and civic engagement in their careers and communities. We seek to model that aspiration through our service as faculty members, staff, administrators, and as an organization.

Diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI). We affirm our college and society benefit when we consistently and intentionally strive to become more diverse, equitable, and inclusive in how we think, treat each other, and function.

Well-rounded education. We want our students to be curious, resilient, and adaptable once they leave Queens College. No matter the degree program, we engage students in continued development at both the undergraduate and graduate level of core communication and analytic skills, including reading, writing, math, and logic, while advancing their understanding of theory and practice within their academic disciplines. We encourage minors and interdisciplinary double majors. We recognize that the more well-rounded the education we offer, the more career options, marketable skills, and often higher pay our students will have. This enhances their abilities to make conditions better for their communities.

Culture of mutual support. When faculty, staff, alumni, and students work in unison around our shared interest for the advancement of all students, everyone thrives. We value systems and tools that enable us to collectively help students succeed. Building a culture where everyone works together to guide and support one another brings obvious benefits to students. Being connected to faculty, staff, and students encourages more alumni to feel part of the QC community. Faculty and staff also gain greater support and connections by joining in a network with students and alumni who see them as champions collaborating to change lives.

Innovative leadership. We view leadership as a mindset and practice, not a status or title. We practice leadership at the College, and as members of many diverse communities with which Queens College and its students, faculty, and staff identify. We believe in changing notions of who can be a leader, from a select few to everyone. We recognize practicing leadership is a unique process for each individual and celebrate everyone’s version of leadership.

Connecting scholarship and creativity with the student experience. We value the many contributions our great scholars and researchers make in the world. We recognize engaging in knowledge creation efforts makes faculty better teachers. Our distinctive approach connects back to students. By emphasizing student engagement
in knowledge creation—in the classroom, in the lab, and in the community—we offer students multiple benefits, from better learning outcomes to exposure to potential careers they might not otherwise experience.

Queens College Strategic Plan 2021-26

The following five goals were established for the 2021-26 Queens College Strategic Plan.

**Curriculum: An integrated, interdisciplinary, experiential academic foundation**

Diverse students, undergraduate and graduate, come to QC for the promise of a high-quality, well-rounded education that will help them develop the skills and knowledge to achieve success in our ever-changing world. Mechanisms and resources must support faculty and administrators working collectively and collaboratively toward curriculum renewal and innovation to ensure QC can deliver on that promise over the long term.

**Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion: A more diverse, equitable, and inclusive culture at QC**

QC strives to reflect the great diversity that exists in the Borough of Queens in New York City. QC has a higher proportion of student ethnic diversity than many colleges, currently enrolling a student population that speaks 79 languages and hails from 140 countries. For its increased enrollment of Latinx and Asian students in recent years, QC is designated a Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI) and Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institution (AANAPISI). Despite this diverse profile, students, faculty, and staff have spoken out about the need for systemic change to tackle lack of representation, racism, and other biases that impact our community. This goal calls upon the entire campus community to participate in culture renovation, an effort to make QC a place where everyone feels welcome, understood, supported, and protected.

**Faculty Scholarship and Creativity: Interconnected with teaching, learning, and student success**

At QC, we believe our scholarly and creative identity has everything to do with student learning, student success, and teaching excellence. Over the next five years, QC will shape that identity even more clearly. This plan supports expanded engagement of students in scholarship, creativity, and research, providing distinctive learning opportunities for students who might not otherwise have access. Faculty across disciplines will receive support to integrate scholarship, creativity, and research into their curricular and pedagogical practice. Intentional networks will connect QC scholars, researchers, and students with wider-world community stakeholders. With QC’s scholarship and creative identity more clearly defined, QC will celebrate knowledge generation and dissemination for the great impact it can have on students and the world.

**Fiscal Sustainability: Mission and values-aligned**

Our goal is to center mission and values in all fiscal decision-making processes. Recognizing our diverse community, we aspire to minimize harm and maximize equitable treatment and outcomes.

Queens College is committing to making financial decisions that support and extend equitable opportunities to the most marginalized populations on campus. The criteria and processes for resource allocation should be transparent, inclusive, and evidence based. We envision the creation of values-aligned, revenue-generating marketing mechanisms as well as increased use of data and assessment to guide the allocation of resources.

**Student Success and Student Life: A community of care in support of student success**

We believe it takes a whole community to successfully recruit, retain, educate, graduate, and guide students as they transition into QC alumni.
We actively take steps to help students upon arrival and ensure that they are engaged and thriving throughout their educational experience at QC. We create opportunities for all students to engage in natural cohorts that exist, by major, co-curricular experience, and beyond.

Building a community where student success becomes our collective responsibility requires both a mindset shift and tangible support for faculty and staff.

**CUNY Strategic Roadmap 2023-2030**

As part of the City University of New York system, Queens College is also guided by CUNY’s strategic goals. Beginning in 2021, Chancellor Matos Rodríguez convened a group from across the University, which reviewed strategic plans and self-studies from the entire system. They identified six thematic areas, the first four of which are echoed in QC’s mission, values, and goals: creating a student-centered, equity-driven university, catalyzing upward mobility, nurturing and renewing the academic core, reimagining University finance and infrastructure, and two that concern CUNY as a whole, designing a convergent research and innovation ecosystem, and promoting university integration while still supporting college differentiation. This led to the adoption of the CUNY Strategic Roadmap, 2023-30, CUNY Lifting NY. By 2030, CUNY seeks to transform itself into the nation’s premier student-focused urban university system. This includes expanding access, improving student success, academic quality and scholarly excellence, engaging with communities, and enhancing outcomes beyond graduation.

The CUNY strategic plan has four goals:

**Access: Be a national leader in providing access to higher education for diverse populations of students**

Increasing access means expanding CUNY’s impact as an engine of social and economic mobility; this requires improving affordability but also removing barriers to entry by offering programs in multiple modalities and formats, and designing programs that meet the needs of diverse communities.

**Student Success: Improve our ability to boost student outcomes and eliminate academic equity gaps with innovative curriculum and support for our world-class staff and faculty**

Enhancing student success requires data-informed best practices for supporting diverse populations to advance academic excellence and innovative pedagogy and professional development to assist faculty and staff in developing these. It also means creating connections between academic disciplines, workforce skills and employment outcomes. Finally, it means ensuring that students’ holistic needs are met so that they can thrive in the university.

**Community: Advance our community through comprehensive research, engagement and service**

Engagement with the community, both locally and globally, expands the frontiers of knowledge and culture, ensures the cutting-edge research conducted in CUNY aids the community, and creates opportunities for students during and after their time at CUNY. Community partnerships facilitate collaborative work that amplifies CUNY’s effectiveness and impact.

**Modernization: Modernize the CUNY system**

The educational, political, demographic and fiscal landscapes have changed substantially since CUNY was founded. This was especially brought home during the COVID-19 crisis. CUNY will re-evaluate all aspects of the system and the workforce to achieve its mission, including a review of programs, policies, processes and technologies and exploration of cross-campus collaborations both academically and operationally.
Plan Implementation

All cabinet members have been asked to prepare Annual Action Plans (AAPs) to formulate goals for their areas, explaining how the goals support both the QC Strategic Plan and the CUNY Strategic Roadmap. Additionally, any large budget and/or hiring requests must explain how the expenditure/hire advances the QC Strategic Plan. Units under the aegis of Academic Affairs submit annual reports on their division, discussing steps taken to implement the QC Strategic Plan and setting goals for the coming year that articulate to it, among other items.

Over the course of the coming year (AY25), the intention is to incorporate the entirety of the College’s divisions into a common annual goal setting and reporting structure that ties directly to Queens College and CUNY strategic goals and performance metrics. CUNY will shortly be distributing Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and targets to each campus in the four key areas of the CUNY Strategic Roadmap, and the campuses will be asked to prioritize and report on their plans. The QC SP will be re-examined for any revisions needed to fit within the larger framework of the CUNY Strategic Roadmap. The analysis and reflection that will take place during the Middle States Self-Study will also assist us in evaluating our strategic goals and our progress in meeting them.
II. Institutional Priorities to be Addressed in the Self-Study

The following institutional priorities derive from the 2021-26 Queens College Strategic Plan, which articulates five broad strategic goals, and the CUNY 2023-30 Strategic Roadmap and its four goals. To prepare for the Middle States review, President Wu and the Middle States Steering Committee examined these objectives, and from them derived the priorities for our Middle States Self-Study:

- **Priority 1**: Examine our strengths and weaknesses in providing a solid foundation for teaching, learning, and scholarship.
- **Priority 2**: Reflect on our motto, *Discimus ut Serviamos* (We Learn so that We May Serve), and how it guides Queens College today.
- **Priority 3**: Explore opportunities to align and cultivate our resources—programming, enrollment, human capital, budgetary, space allocation, among others—to be responsive to a changing landscape.

These institutional priorities were established and then further developed via a consultative and iterative process with institutional stakeholders and with our MSCHE VP Liaison, at the time VP Robert Bonfiglio. Initially, the priorities were drafted by the members of the Executive Steering Committee. We sought early feedback from President Wu and then-MSCHE VP Liaison Bonfiglio. The priorities were further refined within the Working Groups during their first meeting on February 14, 2024, and in a subsequent meeting of the Executive Steering Committee on February 15, 2024. The priorities derive from and support our strategic goals, support our mission and advance our goal to prepare students to serve as innovative leaders in a diverse world that they make more equitable and inclusive. The specific priorities are discussed in detail below.

**Institutional Priority 1**

**Priority 1**: Examine our strengths and weaknesses in the provision of a solid foundation for teaching, learning, and scholarship

QC has long prided itself on offering an education that draws on our faculty’s scholarship and offers students the opportunity to learn cutting edge skills in the classroom, while also engaging in knowledge creation and cocurricular activities outside the classroom. This is reflected in many parts of the QC Strategic Plan: curriculum (goal 1), faculty scholarship and creativity (goal 3), student success and student life (goal 5), and Goals 1–3 of the CUNY Roadmap. In practice, teaching, learning and scholarship are inextricably linked. In this self-study, we seek to focus on the academic enterprise as the core of our business, to identify where we shine and where we struggle, and to make it one of the primary focuses of our self-study. Furthermore, given the recent changes and challenges it has undergone, the College must re-examine the academic enterprise and its functioning, to better serve our students and equip them for success.
Institutional Priority 2

**Priority 2**: Reflect on our motto, *Discimus ut Serviamos* (We Learn so that We May Serve), and how it guides Queens College today

The motto, “we learn that we may serve” is fundamental to Queens College’s identity. As noted in the Institutional Overview, our motto dates from the first Queens College president and as such is in its eighth decade of use. *Discimus ut Serviamos* has provided an enduring foundation for our identity, and is reflected in our Strategic Plan goals, particularly serving an increasing diverse community (Goal 2, DEI) and creating a community that fully supports the needs of students (Goal 5, Student Success). Although the motto was adopted before the foundation of CUNY, it is relevant to CUNY’s goals, especially those around student success and community engagement. However, as times change, so too will the deployment of our motto in practice. With all that has happened in the world since our last institutional reaccreditation, we wish to reflect on our motto and how it guides our work today.

Institutional Priority 3

**Priority 3**: Explore opportunities to align and cultivate our resources—programming, enrollment, human capital, budgetary, space allocation, among others—to be responsive to a changing landscape.

Like all institutions of higher education, Queens College—indeed, the CUNY system—finds itself challenged by declining enrollments and general skepticism concerning the value of higher education. As an urban, minority-serving, public institution, Queens College inhabits a subsector of higher education that has struggled with declines in its traditional funding streams. Deferred maintenance, decreased staffing, and a sprawling academic program inventory are just some of the challenges that Queens College must grapple with as we simultaneously identify efficiencies, generate new revenue streams, and build relationships to ensure optimal allocation of our scarce resources, nimbleness in a challenging ecosystem, and provision of a stable resource base for the future. As is laid out in the Strategic Plan, in an era of diminished resources, it is critical to ensure that our mission and values guide our decision making, and that our goal of supporting student success is paramount. It has become increasingly clear that government support for higher education is under stress and given the economic circumstances of many of our students, we cannot turn solely to tuition revenue, nor would that be consistent with our mission. This priority has two parts, both critical, to better align scarce resources and to ensure that our mission guides that alignment, and to cultivate resources and to enhance sources of revenue beyond tuition. The priority is related to our strategic goal 4, fiscal sustainability, implying not only increasing resources, but realigning them in accordance with our mission and values, as well as the CUNY goal of modernization of the system and its components.

Institutional Priority Mapping

In the tables below, we show the mapping of the three priorities for this self-study to the mission and values statements of the College and to its five broad strategic goals. The final table maps these priorities to the Middle States Standards for Accreditation and shows how we envisage the self-study not only serving to demonstrate our compliance with those standards, but to assist us in addressing the three institutional priorities and using them to advance the College’s strategic goals.
Alignment with Queens College’s mission and values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission Statement and Values Components</th>
<th>Service and Civic Engagement</th>
<th>DEI</th>
<th>Well Rounded Education</th>
<th>Culture of Mutual Support</th>
<th>Innovative Leadership</th>
<th>Connecting Scholarship with the Student Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination of Academic Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding Meaning in Our Motto</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment and Cultivation of Resources</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alignment with the Queens College Strategic Plan Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QC Strategic Plan Goals</th>
<th>Curriculum</th>
<th>DEI</th>
<th>Scholarship</th>
<th>Fiscal Sustainability</th>
<th>Student Success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination of Academic Foundation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding Meaning in Our Motto</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment and Cultivation of Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alignment with the CUNY Strategic Roadmap Map Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CUNY Strategic Roadmap Goals</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Student Success</th>
<th>Community Engagement</th>
<th>Modernization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination of Academic Foundation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding Meaning in Our Motto</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment and Cultivation of Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alignment with the **Standards for Accreditation (Fourteenth Edition)**.

| Examination of Academic Foundation | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Finding Meaning in Our Motto | X | X | X | X | | X |
| Alignment and Cultivation of Resources | X | X | | X | X | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Middle States Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Mission and Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Ethics and Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Student Learning Experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Support of the Student Experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Assessment of Educational Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Planning, Resources, and Institutional Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Governance, Leadership and Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Intended Outcomes of the Self-Study

These three 3 Institutional Outcomes are required by MSCHE:

1. Demonstrate how the institution currently meets the Commission’s [Standards for Accreditation and Requirements of Affiliation (Fourteenth Edition)] and provides evidence by Standard in alignment with the [Evidence Expectations by Standard].

2. Leverage periodic assessment through each standard, using assessment results for continuous improvement and innovation to ensure levels of quality for constituents and the attainment of the institution’s priorities, mission, and goals.

3. Engage the institutional community in an inclusive and transparent self-appraisal process, including analysis of a range of data, including disaggregated data, to ensure students are appropriately served and institutional mission and goals are met.

Queens College has added the following, additional Institutional Outcome:

4. Rebuild our community on the heels of the changes wrought by Covid-19, societal tumult, and leadership transition.

Like many institutions of higher education, and as discussed above in Section I (under Recent Developments and Challenges Facing the Institution), Queens College has over the past four years transited through a challenging set of circumstances.

COVID-19's early outbreak in the New York City area ushered in an immediate period, lasting through the summer of 2020, of disruption of normal rhythms of work, heightened anxiety, and grief at the loss of colleagues, community members, and peers at other CUNY colleges as well as the CUNY Central Office. Beginning in the Fall of 2020 and extending through the beginning of the Fall 2022 semester, Queens College operated largely remotely, though the horizontal (traditional) campus design of the campus meant that a proportionally larger number of essential workers were present on our campus. Since the Fall of 2022, there has been an increasing density of on-campus instruction, and presence of students, faculty, and staff on the physical campus. There are however a greater proportion of staff and faculty who work hybrid (partly remote and partly on-campus), due either to the nature of their work or to an accommodation made to protect their health. CUNY’s official policy allows for up to 70% in-person and 30% remote schedules, by permission of the supervisor.

Given the nature of the Queens College student, faculty, and staff population, we have a proportionally larger share of individuals—particularly students—who identify as Jewish (9%) or as Muslim (15%), when compared even to other CUNY institutions (2023 HEDS survey). There is of course diversity among these broad categories of faith. The outbreak of the conflict in Gaza on October 7, 2023 saw shock waves ripple through our QC community and the city we serve, including stakeholders such as our Foundation Board members as well as local and state elected officials. Beginning in October and continuing to the present day, our campus has been the site of protests, with primarily student groups (recognized as well as ad hoc) holding rallies, hosting speakers, and posting images and statements both around the physical spaces of campus and on social media supporting their views. Students as well as faculty have reported feeling unsafe at times, and the Queens
College offices of Student Affairs and the Dean of Diversity and Chief Diversity Officer have engaged long hours investigating complaints and supporting students.

Queens College has seen turnover in several key offices over the past four years: President, Provost, and two of the four academic Deans have turned over since 2020. Though these transitions have been planned and well-managed, we are in a period of adaptation to new leadership which is always disruptive to operations and typically sees some turnover among those who report to the new executive.

Our hope and expectation is that the intentional gathering of many Queens College community members around the positive, forward-looking work associated with the MSCHE Self-Study will bring us together in a productive manner, introducing new community members to longer-serving ones and generating a document—and a vision—that will lay a solid foundation of relationships, data, and renewed optimism. This foundation will also serve as a solid platform from which to launch the next Queens College strategic plan in 2026.
IV. Self-Study Approach

Queens College is committed to using this self-study as an opportunity to reflect on the many changes that have occurred in the institution and the world and to make any needed adaptations to respond to those changes. Queens College is using a Standards-Based Approach to organize our Self-Study Report. This approach will enable a comprehensive review of the institution and ensure alignment with MSCHE’s seven standards for accreditation. Having seven standards ensures that the total number of individuals involved is large enough to provide a robust cross-section of our community and sufficient breadth and depth within each Working Group. The Lines of Inquiry for each group were chosen with our institutional mission, value and priorities in mind, and will ensure that our institutional priorities and plans align with the standards.
V. Organizational Structure of the Steering Committee and Working Groups

Executive Steering Committee

The members of the Executive Steering Committee serve as the co-Chairs of the self-study. The members of the Executive Steering Committee are:

Christopher Hanusa, Professor of Mathematics and Faculty Liaison for Evaluation and Assessment.
Nathalia Holtzman, Associate Provost for Innovation and Student Success
Patricia Price, Interim Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs

The members of the Executive Steering Committee were chosen to represent key constituencies from the Queens College community. Patricia Price is the Interim Provost and the Campus ALO. Nathalia Holtzman oversees student support services. Christopher Hanusa is a faculty member and was appointed to a three-year role as the Faculty Liaison for Evaluation and Assessment.

The Executive Steering Committee schedules regular coordination meetings to write documents and prepare for Steering Committee meetings. Additional communication will take place using Microsoft Teams to keep a record of the process and ensure that all three members of the Executive Steering Committee are apprised of recent developments.

Steering Committee

The Steering Committee is comprised of the Executive Steering Committee and the fourteen co-Chairs of the Working Groups, named below. This team is tasked with ensuring communication amongst the working groups to make sure that the self-study process stays focused, on task, and on schedule.

In November 2024, the Executive Steering Committee determined the composition of the Steering Committee. Each Working Group would have two co-Chairs: one Faculty Member and one member of the Professional Staff. Every effort was made to ensure diverse and gender-balanced representation and for each group to be led by responsible and responsive members of the Queens College community. We followed a suggestion that we learned during the Self-Study Institute: we did not choose any Cabinet Members to be members of the Steering Committee because they will be responsible for fielding the Working Groups’ evidence requests.

The Steering Committee will meet once per month during the Academic Year (February, March, April, September, October, November) to convey information from the Executive Steering Committee, to discuss Working Group progress and concerns, and to provide a forum for co-Chairs from different Working Groups to understand how their work overlaps to ensure no duplication of effort. The Steering Committee also is a forum for discussing best practices for leading Working Groups including facilitating open, objective discussion and analysis of the institution’s compliance with their assigned standard.
Communication

Administration of the self-study process is being conducted on Microsoft Teams. The Executive Steering Committee communicates with Working Group co-Chairs on Teams and the Working Group co-Chairs communicate with their Working Group members on Teams. The Teams platform is used to ensure consistent communication and expectations across Working Groups. For example, a Working Group Information Packet was assembled for each Working Group that documents the following.

- QC Middle States Webpage
- Self-Study Timeline
- Glossary of MSCHE Terms
- MSCHE Standards of Accreditation
- MSCHE Evidence Expectations by Standard
- Institutional Priorities and Intended Outcomes for the Self-Study
- Example Self-Study Reports

Working Groups were given detailed guidelines about developing their Lines of Inquiry to consider the institutional mission, the Institutional Priorities, and the Commission’s Standards for Accreditation and Requirements of Affiliation. Working Groups will be expected to follow the guidelines provided in this Self-Study Design with respect to the Evidence Inventory and the writing of the Self-Study Report.

Working Groups

Working Groups are organized by the seven MSCHE Standards of Accreditation and are each comprised of approximately ten members of the Queens College community. Working groups are led by two co-Chairs: one faculty member and one member of the professional staff.

Members of Working Groups were chosen through multiple rounds of discussion amongst the Executive Steering Committee and the co-Chairs of the Working Groups with a goal to form Working Groups of approximately ten total members, approximately half of whom would be faculty members and half of whom would be professional staff.

Each pair of co-Chairs provided a list of names of prospective Working Group members. The Executive Steering Committee brought forth their own list of potential Working Group members. The Executive Steering Committee reconciled the lists to ensure that the Working Group members represented many different community voices by balancing academic specialty, administrative specialties, gender, race, and college roles. A concerted effort was made to expand the universe of considered names to be able to include people in the conversation who are not normally asked to serve. Co-Chairs were given veto power over any names they did not want to include. Co-Chairs invited the prospective members to join the Working Group and when people declined invitations, the Executive Steering Committee worked with co-Chairs to find replacement candidates.

Two external community members representing the Queens College Foundation and Queens College Alumni were added to Working Groups VI and VII. Student representatives will be added to appropriate standards during the 2024-2025 Academic Year to ensure their voices are also included in Self-Study Report.
Charge to All Working Groups

In preparation for institutional reaccreditation, Queens College will produce a comprehensive Self-Study. This work will unfold over a two-year period, beginning in February of 2024 and culminating with the peer evaluator team visit in the Spring of 2026. By accepting the role of a Working Group member or Co-Chair, you are (ideally) committing to participate over the entire two-year period. The MSCHE peer evaluator team will rely on the Self-Study our Evidence Inventory to evaluate how well Queens College meets each Standard of Accreditation.

The Self-Study Report is created through a process organized by Working Groups. Working Groups are organized by the seven MSCHE Standards of Accreditation and are each comprised of ten members of the Queens College community: two Co-Chairs and eight members. Faculty, staff, students, and other QC stakeholders will all participate in the Self-Study process.

The Working Groups are tasked with identifying and analyzing evidence, drafting a chapter of the Self-Study, and assembling the Evidence Inventory. Each Working Group will review college documents to determine the ways and extent to which Queens College meets their assigned Standard of Accreditation. Working Groups will meet three times per semester in Spring 2024, Fall 2024, and Spring 2025, and will be responsible for supplying drafts of their Self-Study Chapter to the Steering Committee Co-Chairs once per semester.

Each working group will have two Co-Chairs: one faculty member and one staff member. Co-chairs will be responsible for:

- **Coordinating the work** of their Working Group;
- **Submitting drafts** of chapters on schedule;
- **Communicating** across the working groups as representatives to the Steering Committee, which will meet three times per semester; and
- **Representing** their group to the campus community.

The Working Group should appoint a member to fulfill each of the following tasks:

- **Archivist:** Organizes and manages the documents and evidence collected by the Working Group to help identify gaps in the Evidence Inventory;
- **Writer:** Gathers Working Group narrative contributions into one cohesive document with an editorial format that is consistent with the provided Style Requirements; and
- **Analyst:** Uses the provided Evidence Expectations by Standard Guidelines to ensure the work, findings, and recommendations of the Working Group meet the guidelines used by a Middle States peer evaluator.

All other members of the Working Group are expected to contribute actively to the drafting of the Self-Study Chapter.

**Standard I: Mission and Goals**

**Membership:**

**Faculty co-Chair:** Leslee Grey, Associate Professor, Secondary Education and Youth Services  
**Staff co-Chair:** Daniel Weinstein, Dean, School of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Lines of Inquiry:

1. To what degree are the Mission and Goals developed collaboratively to address key stakeholders, approved by an appropriate governing body, and communicated widely?
2. To what extent are our Mission and Goals realistic and achievable in the current higher education landscape? Do the Goals specify how the College fulfills its Mission?
3. To what level do our Mission and Goals foreground student learning outcomes and guide decision-making for planning, resource allocation, program and curricular development, as well as scholarship and creative activity of both students and faculty?
4. To what extent are the Mission and Goals periodically assessed to ensure that the College’s strategic priorities, including enrollment, retention, and DEI principles, are relevant and feasible?
5. How does the QC motto (We Learn so that We May Serve) align with our Mission and Goals to guide our internal stakeholders?

Standard II: Ethics and Integrity

Membership:

Faculty co-Chair: Julie George, Associate Professor, Political Science
Staff co-Chair: Sean Pierce, Interim Assistant Vice President for Student Affairs

Emanuel Avila, Judicial Affairs
Joseph Cohen, Sociology
Omar Cortez, Newman Center
Aggrey Dechinea, Human Resources
Kate Menken, Linguistics and Communication Disorders
Justin Storbeck, Psychology
Simone Yearwood, School of Arts and Humanities

Lines of Inquiry:

1. To what extent does Queens College conduct itself with ethics, transparency and honesty with its interactions with the public, its students, faculty, staff and other stakeholders?
2. How has Queens College successfully supported a culture of autonomy and freedom for its community and stakeholders?
3. How has Queens College designed policies and procedures that comply with government regulations vis-a-vis transparency, disclosure, and ethical practices?
4. With regard to regulatory requirements (disclosure, transparency, and ethical practices), in what ways has Queens College ensured that its policies and procedures ensure appropriate outcomes? How has Queens College ensured effective realization of these outcomes and are there arenas for improvement?
5. In what ways has Queens College been successful in building an academic community that is inclusive and accessible, across categories including (but not limited to), race, ethnicity, class, and disability? In what has it been challenged in this process?
6. In what ways does Queens College provide fair avenues for considering grievances and conflicts?

**Standard III: Design and Delivery of the Student Learning Experience**

Membership:

**Faculty co-Chair:** Elizabeth Ijalba, Associate Professor, Linguistics and Communication Disorders  
**Staff co-Chair:** Rebecca De Jesus, Associate Director, Academic Advising

Lindsey Albracht, English  
Cristina Di Meo, Experiential Education  
Allan Edmond, Learning Commons  
Heather Horton, Art  
John LaBarbera, Admissions  
Soniya Munshi, Center for Excellence in Teaching, Learning and Leadership  
Patrick O’Connell, QC Global  
Marcella Ossa Parra, Elementary and Early Childhood Education  
Joe Sanchez, Library and Information Studies

**Lines of Inquiry:**

1. In what ways does Queens College provide a broad range of academic offerings that are rigorous, linked to service, and designed to foster synthesis of learning?
2. To what extent does Queens College have a sufficient number of qualified faculty to design and deliver courses that support student academic progress?
3. How does Queens College manage and communicate information about academic offerings to ensure timely and transparent dissemination?
4. To what extent does Queens College general education program and co-curricular activities align with the College’s institutional mission to ensure that students gain essential skills and an inclusive educational experience?
5. How are faculty supported with clear expectations to ensure professional development, research, rigorous, and inclusive teaching?
6. How does Queens College conduct periodic assessments of the effectiveness of student learning experiences that are inclusive and responsive to a changing landscape?
Standard IV: Support of the Student Experience

Membership:

**Faculty co-Chair:** Susan Davis, Professor, Music Education  
**Staff co-Chair:** Ivan-Scott Lee, Program Director, Accelerate Complete Engage  
Jose Betances, Information Technology  
JC Carlson, Student Development & Leadership  
Catherine Connolly, Academic Advising  
Marci Goodman, College Now  
Megan Paslawski, English  
Alexander Reichl, Political Science  
Kristine Rosales, Sociology  
Eric Urevich, Child Development Center  
Christopher Wagner, Elementary and Early Childhood Education

Lines of Inquiry:

1. To what extent do processes and programs at Queens College provide equitable support to students throughout their lifecycle (including subpopulations defined by race and ethnicity, religion, disability, immigration status, gender and sexual identity, and other categories), from recruitment through post-graduation, to cultivate a solid academic foundation and advance their success at the College, and beyond?
2. What policies, procedures, and training protocols are implemented by the College for maintaining, safeguarding, and proper release of student and academic records?
3. How does Queens College periodically assess the effectiveness of student support services, policies, and procedures, using disaggregated data, to ensure student success?
4. To what extent does Queens College administer and regularly assess the policies, procedures, and processes to ensure prompt, accurate, and fair transfer of credits to facilitate students' timely degree completion?
5. How is Queens College supporting the academic, personal, and professional growth of all students, including affinity groups (e.g., transfer, honors, athletic students, etc.), through the provision of student life and extracurricular activities?

Standard V: Educational Effectiveness Assessment

Membership:

**Faculty co-Chair:** Chris Williams, Lecturer, English  
**Staff co-Chair:** Bobbie Kabuto, Dean, School of Education  
Katherine Antonova, History  
Bradley Bergey, Secondary Education and Youth Services  
Erica Doran, Psychology  
Amy Lui, School of Education
Mitchell Proux, Linguistics and Communication Disorders
Donna Smith, Learning Commons
Danne Woo, Art

Lines of Inquiry:

1. How do departments and offices articulate and integrate their goals and student learning outcomes in a manner consistent with the institution’s mission, and periodically assess the effectiveness of their goals and student learning outcomes?
2. What is the process to develop, deploy, and evaluate student learning outcomes at different levels (program, school, college, and CUNY) and how is alignment and adherence ensured?
3. To what extent does Queens College support and sustain a systematic, multimeasure approach to assess student learning that aligns with the institution’s mission and is based on standards defined by relevant disciplines or areas?
4. How does Queens College systematically collect and disaggregate assessment results, and periodically communicate these results to the larger college community for the purpose of continuous improvement?
5. How do departments and offices receive disaggregated assessment results and use them to inform and sustain improvement?
6. To what extent does Queens College employ a transparent quality assurance process to evaluate and revise its assessment policies and processes across the College?

Standard VI: Planning, Resources, and Institutional Improvement

Membership:

Faculty co-Chair: Susan Rotenburg, Professor, Chemistry
Staff co-Chair: Mary Ann Watch, Budget Manager, Academic Affairs

Christopher Coleman, School of Arts and Humanities
Markus Erndl, Information Technology
Denese Gordon, Buildings and Grounds
Veronica Hinton, Psychology
Linda Jackson, Art
Zeco Krcic, Facilities, Planning, and Operations
Nalini Pitrelli, Finance and Business Affairs
David Weiner, Queens College Foundation Board
Jian Xiao, Accounting

Lines of Inquiry:

1. How does the Strategic Plan drive and shape the budget? What processes are in place to ensure the budget is developed, managed and allocated effectively? How is the community involved in the budget planning process; how and how often is the process measured and assessed for fairness and effectiveness?
2. How are the institutional, divisional, and departmental financial goals determined and how are these goals assessed for effectiveness and success? Do they provide the institution with a strong(er) financial position?
3. What campus-wide infrastructure and other projects that contribute to the environment on campus (e.g., classroom renovations, computer replacements, etc.) were completed since 2017? Describe how they are aligned with the Strategic Plan and what, if any, were the constraints on spending.
4. Describe the process followed to allocate college space utilized by the student community: classrooms, labs, and other student support spaces. How does this process promote inclusiveness, student success, and scholarship, and does it align with the College’s goals, mission, and motto?
5. What are the processes and allocated resources for creating and retaining a diverse community (faculty, staff, students) and how does this process align with the College’s mission and motto? How does it promote institutional improvement in the areas of teaching, learning, and scholarship across the community?

Standard VII: Governance, Leadership, and Administration

Membership:

**Faculty co-Chair:** Concettina Pagano, Lecturer, Psychology  
**Staff co-Chair:** Sayashmini Madhow, Assistant to the Associate Provost, Academic Affairs  
**Facia Class,** Distinguished Community Member  
**Natanya Duncan,** History  
**Kevin Ferguson,** English  
**Emily Jennings,** Admissions  
**Dwayne D. Jones, Sr.**, Student Affairs  
**Tom Lee,** Music  
**Joan Nix,** Economics  
**Kate Pechenkina,** School of Social Sciences

**Lines of Inquiry:**

1. Does Queens College have a well-defined, legally established, and transparent governance framework delineating roles, duties, and accountability for inclusive decision-making across all constituencies, including the institution's governing body, administration, faculty, staff, students, and associated entities? What are the protocols and procedures that provide for a transparent governance policy aligned with its stated core mission(s)?
2. Does the Chief Executive Officer of Queens College have the credentials, and professional experience that aligns with the institution's mission? Do they possess the requisite authority, autonomy, and lead with transparency in fulfilling their role?
3. What are the distinct roles and responsibilities of the CEO, Cabinet members, and Vice Presidents at Queens College? How do these key stakeholders interact with and report to the governing bodies?
4. What is the organizational structure of Queens College’s administration? And What are the responsibilities of all roles of administration and leadership?
5. What methods does Queens College use to evaluate institutional effectiveness relative to the College's mission and what periodic review processes are in place to ensure institutional effectiveness?
6. To what extent does the administration at Queens College engage with faculty, students, and external stakeholders to advance institutional goals, and what systematic procedures are in place for evaluating administrative units and utilizing assessment data for improvement?

**Operational Excellence Team**

In addition to the seven Working Groups, the Executive Steering Committee formed the Operational Excellence Team to support the self-study process. This team includes members from the Library and the Offices of the President, Institutional Effectiveness, Information Technology, and Communications and Marketing. They will be called upon to help make sure that the process runs smoothly and the infrastructure that is created during the self-study process is useful, usable, and sustainable.

**Membership:**

- **Evelyn Alvarenga**, Information Technology
- **Erika Angelone**, Office of the President
- **Lizandra Friedland**, Institutional Effectiveness
- **Troy Hahn**, Information Technology
- **Zhili Liang**, Institutional Effectiveness
- **Roxan Mahoutchi**, Information Technology
- **James Mellone**, Library
- **Robin Naughton**, Library
- **Adrian Partridge**, Communications and Marketing
VI. Guidelines for Reporting

Each Working Group will develop and submit a draft chapter, not to exceed 10 single-spaced pages per standard, addressing the following chapter goals:

- Overview of the Standard of Accreditation and criteria to address.
- Explanation of how the College addresses each criterion.
- Data, processes, or procedures that support descriptions of how the College addresses the Standard and its associated criteria.
- Discussion of the College's strengths and challenges in meeting the Standard and its associated criteria.
- Recommendations for how the College can improve its ability to meet the Standard and its associated criteria.

When possible, the writing should be in active voice and in the third person. To assist with aligning the narrative with the specific evidence supplied in the Evidence Inventory, text that addresses a Standard criterion should be tagged in a sidebar, using brackets around the criteria (and sub-criteria, if applicable. Example: [Criteria 3.c]

As part of the Self-Study Design process, the Executive Co-Chairs worked with the Queens College Department of Marketing and Communications to develop a comprehensive Style Guide for the preparation and formatting of the Self-Study Report. The Style Guide includes Microsoft Word documents, tables, charts, Excel, and PowerPoint presentations. This resource was not formerly available to the College community and will provide an enduring asset beyond the reaccreditation cycle. The Style Guide is presented as an Appendix to the Self-Study Design.

Chapters will be organized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure of Draft Chapters</th>
<th>Approximate Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sections of Each Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>1 page (maximum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview of findings</td>
<td>1 page (maximum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Working Group approach</td>
<td>1 page (maximum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed analysis (organized by Lines of Inquiry)</td>
<td>6 pages (should constitute the bulk of the draft chapter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Line of Inquiry #1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Line of Inquiry #2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Line of Inquiry #3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• (Etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary, including strengths and opportunities for improvement</td>
<td>1 page (maximum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix (contributions to Evidence Inventory)</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The schedule of Chapter and Report draft submission and revision is outlined below (a detailed timeline of all activities associated with the self-study can be found here):
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Group or Individual Responsible</th>
<th>Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-Study Introduction draft</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee</td>
<td>March 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter drafts</td>
<td>Working Groups</td>
<td>March 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Study Report draft assembled and circulated for input</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee</td>
<td>April 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised chapter drafts</td>
<td>Working Groups</td>
<td>September 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second draft of Self-Study Report to Team Chair</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee</td>
<td>September 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final draft of Self-Study Report to Team</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee</td>
<td>February 2026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Self-recommendations surfaced by the Working Groups—whether by stakeholders interviewed by the Working Group members or by the Working Groups themselves—are a desirable outcome of the process of evidence gathering and analysis. Self-recommendations will be considered by the Executive Steering Committee, but not all will be included in the Self-Study Report. The Steering Committee will be asked to rank the importance of each self-recommendation using a Likert scale (1 = least important to 5 = critically important). Recommendations with an average of 4 or above will be considered by the Provost and President for inclusion in the Self-Study Report.
VII. Organization of the Final Self-Study Report

The Self-Study Report will be structured utilizing a standards-based approach, with individual chapters focused on each of the seven Standards. The Self-Study Report will also include an executive summary and introductory chapter at the beginning which presents an overview of Queens College and outlines the Self-Study Process, major findings, and recommendations. A concluding chapter at the end of the Self-Study Report will reflect upon the process and the status of the Institutional Outcomes outlined earlier in Section III.
# VIII. Self-Study Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 2023</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee identified and invited to serve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2023</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee attends 2023 MSCHE Self-Study Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2023</td>
<td>Self-Study Webpage Launch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2023</td>
<td>Steering Committee Members identified and invited to serve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2023</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee attends MSCHE Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2023</td>
<td>First Meeting of the Steering Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Host meeting with the MSCHE VP Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Working Group Members invited to serve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Members of the Operational Excellence Team invited to serve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 5, 2024</td>
<td>Self-Study Kick Off Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2024</td>
<td>First Issue of <em>Middle States Newsbreak</em> Newsletter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2024</td>
<td>Working Groups develop Self-Study Lines of Inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2024</td>
<td>Working Groups identify existing evidence that supports the Lines of Inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2024</td>
<td>Solicit Community Feedback for Self-Study Design Draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 19, 2024</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee drafts and submits Self-Study Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 6, 2024</td>
<td>Queens College hosts Self-Study Preparation Visit with VP Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May – June 2024</td>
<td>Revisions and Final Acceptance of Self-Study Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2024</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee develops scaffolding for the Self-Study Report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. – Dec. 2024</td>
<td>Working Groups engage in research related to their specific disciplines, and work with stakeholders to identify and fill gaps in evidence needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. – Mar. 2025</td>
<td>Executive Steering Committee writes the introduction for the Self-Study Report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. – Mar. 2025</td>
<td>Working Groups prepare the drafts of their chapters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2025</td>
<td>The first draft of the Self-Study Report is shared with Steering Committee, Working Groups, and Campus Community for review and comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2025</td>
<td>Second draft of Self-Study Report completed by Working Groups and shared with Steering Committee, Working Groups, and Campus Community for review and comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2025</td>
<td>Second draft of Self-Study Report sent to Team Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2025</td>
<td>Queens College hosts MSCHE Evaluation Team Chair Preliminary Visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 21, 2025</td>
<td>Final Draft of Self-Study Report completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 2025 – Jan. 2026</td>
<td>Self-Study Report final formatting completed by Office of Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2026</td>
<td>Final Self-Study Report sent to Evaluation Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2026</td>
<td>Queens College hosts MSCHE Evaluation Team Visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2026</td>
<td>Receive the Self-Study Evaluation Team Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2026</td>
<td>Response to Self-Study Evaluation Team Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2026</td>
<td>MSCHE meets to determine action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are requesting a Spring 2026 Evaluation Team Visit due to recent personnel changes and data collection reasons. From a personnel point of view, Queens College has had a complete change in leadership since 2020 with a new President, a new Interim Provost, and new Associate Provosts; it takes time to become acclimated to
the institution. Queens College is also facing staff shortages – we are currently without an Associate Provost for Institutional Effectiveness, and the long-serving Assistant to the Provost retired in 2023. MSCHE staffing has also had some turnover with a new Vice-President Liaison being assigned to our campus in March 2024.

We also believe that an additional semester of data collection would lead to more fruitful discussions in our Working Groups and, as a result, a more useful analysis in our Self-Study Report. Two items in particular are top of mind. Changes in the FAFSA process during the 2023-24 Academic Year will mean it is important to synthesize the admissions data from both Fall 2024 and Fall 2025. Furthermore, year-over-year trends have not yet stabilized after the Covid-19 pandemic. An additional semester would allow for the trends in the data to be more representative and will give us a chance to better understand what the data is telling us.
IX. Communication Plan

We have developed a Communication Plan that involves a website, a regular newsletter, regularly scheduled presentations and meetings with key stakeholders, and additional digital means to share information about the process and collect community feedback.

The Self-Study website (https://www.qc.cuny.edu/accreditation/middle-states/) was launched in November 2023 and includes background and an informational video about re-accreditation, recent news and updates, the self-study timeline, names of the Steering Committee and Working Group members, past issues of the newsletter and links to MSCHE resources.

Our newsletter is called the Middle States Newsbreak. It is distributed monthly to all faculty and staff when classes are in session; its goal is to digest and pass along key points of information about the re-accreditation process to the community. Each newsletter includes three bullet points about what everyone needs to be aware of at that time. Past issues of the newsletter are posted on the Self-Study website. Additional email outreach will occur with the President’s and Provost’s regular newsletters, Frankly Speaking and Academic Affairs Update, respectively.

The informational video about the re-accreditation process was developed by the Executive Steering Committee and recorded and produced by the Queens College Office of Communications and Marketing. Queens College President Wu and Interim Provost Price were the friendly community faces to share the information about the process. This video appears prominently on the Middle States webpage and was broadcast to the members of the Working Groups at the Self-Study Kick-Off Event on February 5, 2024.

Regular presentations to community members have already started. We will regularly inform the faculty and students (in the Academic Senate), the Department Chairs (in the College Personnel and Budget Committee), and the Queens College Foundation Board.

Feedback will be solicited by email and in person. The Self-Study Design and Self-Study Report will be shared with the campus community through the above channels and Microsoft Forms will be used to collect feedback from community members. Further feedback for the Self-Study Report will be solicited and collected from community members in town hall meetings scheduled in each of the Academic Schools in 2025.

In Academic Years 24-25 and 25-26, students will be further engaged in the process. We will include outreach to the student-run newspaper The Knight News for student awareness and to recruit students to serve on Working Groups.

We plan an intensification of communications prior to MSCHE Site Visits for community awareness, including sharing information on digital displays on campus, through official Queens College social media channels, and prominently displaying information about the visits on the Queens College webpage.
X. Evaluation Team Profile

Team Chair:
We would prefer a current or recently retired president/chancellor of a public, minority-serving, urban university; experience with a university system would be desirable, as would experience in an institution with unionized faculty members.

Team Members:
As with the team chair, team members with experience at public, minority-serving, urban universities would be preferred. Team members with experience working in a centralized system, particularly regarding hiring and budgeting would be desirable.

Top and Signature Programs:
The largest majors are psychology, computer science, economics, accounting and business. Queens College is known for its teacher education programs, both undergraduate and graduate, its language and arts programs, including the Aaron Copland School of Music.

Peer, Aspirant, and Competitor Institutions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparable Peer Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California State University, Fullerton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montclair State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State University, Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Texas at El Paso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Atlantic University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMass Boston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Texas at San Antonio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspirational Peer Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binghamton University - SUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Illinois Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nevada Las Vegas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutgers University - Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of North Carolina at Charlotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University at Albany - SUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Texas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competitor Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adelphi University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hofstra University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. John's University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baruch College - CUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter College - CUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stony Brook University - SUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn College - CUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUNY New Paltz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City College of New York - CUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touro University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
XI. Strategy for Addressing Annual Institutional Update Indicators and Metrics

Verification of Annual Institutional Update (AIU) Indicators and Metrics

A robust data verification process is essential for ensuring the accuracy and completeness of our Annual Institutional Update (AIU) indicators and metrics. The following outlines the procedures we follow to verify data prior to submission.

- **Data Verification Responsibilities:** Data owners, typically department heads or designated personnel, are responsible for verifying the accuracy and compliance of data points relevant to their areas. They gather and maintain documentation to support the verification process.

- **Transparency and Communication:** We value transparency and open communication throughout the verification process. Data owners review and investigate any potential discrepancies or missing information and clarify or correct data as needed.

- **Specific Verification Procedures:** The following table outlines the AIU indicators and metrics, along with the corresponding office responsible for verification:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators / Metrics</th>
<th>Responsible Office(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall Enrollment, 12-Month Enrollment, Dual Enrollment, Distance Education, Programs</td>
<td>Registrar’s Office, Office of the Dean (for each Academic School)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention Rates, Graduation Rates, Transfer Rates, Student Demographics</td>
<td>Registrar’s Office, Student Affairs and Enrollment Management Division, Office of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dean of Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Default Rate, Percent Receiving Pell</td>
<td>Financial Aid Office, Bursar’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets, Net Position, Total Revenue, Total Operating Expense, Deductions,</td>
<td>Chief Financial Officer, External Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debts, Pension Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By following these outlined procedures, we aim to ensure the accuracy and reliability of our AIU data submission, ultimately strengthening the self-study process.
Use of AIU Indicators and Metrics in Self-Study

These indicators and metrics will be used to inform the self-study process and will be shared with all working groups. While not every standard directly aligns with specific quantitative data points from the AIU, we will integrate the AIU data strategically throughout the self-study process. Each standard will be addressed comprehensively, with relevant AIU data embedded into the narrative to support and illustrate institutional practices. The following is a breakdown of how this will be achieved for each relevant standard. Note that other AIU data will be used in discussion of the standards, in addition to the specific metrics and indicators enumerated here.

Standard I: Mission and Goals

- **AIU Metrics**: Retention, Graduate and Transfer Rates, Enrollment Headcount
- **Integration**: These metrics, along with data on job placement rates, and alternative completion measures will be used to demonstrate progress in meeting established student achievement goals.

Standard III: Design and Delivery of the Student Learning Experience

- **AIU Metrics**: Programs Offered, Distance Education Headcount, Enrollment Headcount
- **Integration**: Analysis of these metrics, along with program curricula and instructional delivery methods, will provide a comprehensive picture of how the institution designs and delivers its educational programs.

Standard IV: Support of the Student Experience

- **AIU Metrics**: Retention Rates (Full-time & Part-time), Graduation Rates (150% & 200%), Student Outcomes (Loan Default Rate), Percent of Undergraduates Receiving Pell, Percent of First-Time Full-Time (FT-FT) Receiving Pell, Dual Enrollment Headcount
- **Integration**: We will analyze these metrics alongside student course evaluations and Cap and Gown Survey data to assess the effectiveness of initiatives designed to support the student experience.

Standard V: Educational Effectiveness Assessment

- **AIU Metrics**: Graduation Rates by Gender, Graduation Rates by Race/Ethnicity
- **Integration**: Along with other student learning outcomes data, we will evaluate these graduation rates to assess the effectiveness of educational programs and identify any achievement gaps and develop strategies to address them.

Standard VI: Planning, Resources, and Institutional Improvement

- **AIU Metrics**: Financial Results (e.g., Total Assets, Net Position, Total Revenue, Total Operating Expense), Pension Information
- **Integration**: These financial indicators will be reviewed along with the institution's strategic planning processes, resource allocation strategies, and institutional assessment practices. This will provide a holistic view of our ability to plan, allocate resources, and continuously improve.
XII. Evidence Inventory Strategy

The Evidence Inventory Strategy has been developed in consultation with members of our Operational Excellence Team from the Library and the Office of Information Technology (OIT).

Data will be stored on a Microsoft SharePoint Site developed by OIT. This site will be developed to streamline the collection of the data, the storage, organization, and cross-referencing of the data, and access to the data by the Peer Evaluators and members of the Queens College Community for planning purposes after the self-study process is complete.

The data will be organized into folders labeled by Standard, Line of Inquiry, and Type of Data. (For example: data that is collected for Standard #6, LOI #1, related to office budgets would be in a folder named 6_1_budgets.) The label for each file in the folder will include the name of the folder plus a three-letter code for the department / office where it originated, a description of the item, and a unique three-digit identifier. (For example: the third piece of tax levy budget information collected from Admissions in the above folder would be named 6_1_budgets_ADM_taxlevy_003.pdf.) This structure allows for human readability of the information, and simplicity in sorting and determining the origin of document information. If the evidence document is to be shared across multiple standards, the file will be stored in a primary location with a link to that shared file placed in the secondary standard to ensure the evidence library remains up to date across the standards.

In addition to a consistent file naming convention, we will be attaching searchable metadata to each file and folder. Each folder will have a longer description of the type of evidence that is located there, and each file will have a longer description of what it is. This metadata can be extracted into an automatically generated Table of Contents for human readability.

To ensure that the metadata is attached to the folders and files correctly, data requests from Working Groups will undergo a cleaning process and coding process. Data requests will need to be submitted with the information about the standard number, the line of inquiry, a longer description of the type of data to be collected, a proposed short identifier, and the departments and offices that will be asked to supply this information. Furthermore, there must be a justification about how this data will be used to address the Line of Inquiry and how this information can be leveraged by the campus moving forward. A key staff member (to be hired) will do the following.

- Review each request.
- Ensure this request does not duplicate previous requests.
- Set up the form that will request the appropriate data.
- Attach the metadata.
- Ensure it is assigned to the appropriate departments/teams for collection.
- Support the collection of data as appropriate.

By collecting this information in SharePoint, Microsoft Power Automate will be used to systematically follow up with data requests, input the files into the Evidence Inventory using the correct formatting, and interact with Microsoft PowerBI to create dashboards that visualize the progress toward completion for the entire self-study team.
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Sample 1 (TOC Heading)

One-Column Layout (Heading 1)

Text (Heading 2)

This is a one column layout. Body copy is 12pt Times or Times New Roman, use auto leading.

- Do not use the underline feature as this will make the text look like a hyperlink.
- Hyperlinks should be red. rgb (231,25,57)
- Use **bold** with discretion.
- Justify text left. No hyphenation mid-word at the end of a line
- Use CAPITAL LETTERS with discretion.
- Do not mix font sizes in text blocks.
- Skip one line between headings and text. Skip two lines after a text block before the next Heading 1. Skip two lines before and after charts and images.

Colors

To comply with ADA requirements and to assist the visually impaired, please make sure that font color contrasts with background color. Use black type on light backgrounds. Use white type on dark backgrounds.

Font Colors

| #000000  | #E71939  | #FFFFFF  |
| rgb (0,0,0) | rgb (231,25,57) | rgb (255,255,255) |

Background and Accent Colors

| #8F23B3  | #34B233  | #6AADE4  | #FF5800  | #FDC82F  |
| rgb (143,35,179) | rgb (52,178,51) | rgb(106,173,228) | rgb (255,88,0) | rgb (253,200,47) |
Text and Images

This is a one column layout. Body copy is 12pt Times or Times New Roman use auto leading. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.
Sample 2 (TOC Heading)

Two-Column Layout (Heading 1)

Text and Images (Heading 2)

This is a two-column layout. Body copy is 12pt Times or Times New Roman use auto leading. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.

Heading 1

On a two-column layout a red rule can be inserted above a heading. To add the line, under the home tab. Click on Borders and Add Horizontal line. Double click the line to format it: Height is 3pt and color is E71939 (see color chart below). There should be two line spaces between the sections.

Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.

Heading 2

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.

elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam
Text wraps are permitted on one-column layouts but the image/chart should not be wider than 2/3rds of the width of the page.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.
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Text in tables should be the same type and point size as body copy. 12pt Times New Roman, justified left. Text should be 6pt from the top and 6pt from the bottom. Refrain from adding colors to chart cells.
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Charts

Queens College

- Male: 55.8%
- Female: 43.8%
- Other/Unknown: 0.4%

LaGuardia CC

- Male: 52.9%
- Female: 39.3%
- Other/Unknown: 7.8%

Use Helvetica 16pt for chart headings. Use Helvetica 12pt for the key.
Charts from Excel into Word

You can copy and paste charts from Excel into Word, but when creating a chart in Excel make sure you use the same font and sizes as listed above. Take into consideration that the page width is 8”.

In some circumstances you may have too much data to fit in a portrait layout. If this is the case it is okay to rotate the chart 90 degrees and occupy the whole page.
Editorial Guidelines

Style Points for Writers and Editors

The Office of Communications and Marketing wants everyone to be on the same page. These rules will simplify work for writers and give the Middle States report a more consistent look and feel.

Punctuation

Colons
Capitalized first word of a full sentence following a colon; lowercase first word of a fragment.

Commas
We use serial commas (Moe, Larry, and Curly).

Dashes
M-dashes can be used—without spaces on either side—to set off parenthetical phrases.

To express range in a listing, e.g., Godwin-Ternbach is open Monday–Thursday, use N-dashes; the alternatives “through” or “to” are preferable in text blocks.

Periods
One space after periods, question marks, exclamation marks, interrobangs, and any other symbol yet to be devised that indicates the end of a sentence.

Word Treatments

email
Single unhyphenated word, lowercased.

health care
Two words.

internet
Lowercase.

LIE
No periods.

When describing matters electronic, this is a single unhyphenated word, lowercased.

website
Single word, lowercased, except at the start of a sentence. Apply this style to related words, such as web, webcast, and webinar.

If something isn’t listed, look online for Webster’s Collegiate styling.

QC-specific Guidelines

Building names
Cap both words in first reference: Kiely Hall, Jefferson Hall.

College name style
The first reference to the school is Queens College. You may use QC for second refs. For variety, Queens is also acceptable, unless the context would create confusion with the Borough of Queens.

Departments
Generally, we lowercase departments, capping proper adjectives and nouns only: American studies, French. Editors/writers have discretion to use capitals if the situation seems to warrant it: Department of Chemistry, English Department, etc.

Don’t use a noun that connotes building—hall, museum, gymnasium (our gym is FitzGerald with a cap G)—in subsequent references unless the word eliminates the ambiguity of a person named Remsen going to Remsen.

dorm
QC doesn’t have one. The Summit Apartments is a residence hall; the article is capitalized (which is an
exception to the rule—avoid capping the in most instances).

**Drama, Theatre & Dance**
With British spelling of theatre (re, not er) and an ampersand. Other compound departments use “and.”

**FitzGerald Gymnasium**

**Goldstein Theatre**
British spelling, again.

**Knights, not Ladies**
All athletes, and the teams they play for, are Knights.

If context leaves athletes’ gender unclear, specify who is playing (men’s basketball, women’s basketball).

**LeFrak Concert Hall**

**Science Building**
Not New Science Building

**Schools**
*Divisions* have been replaced by *Schools*. The old terminology may be appropriate when writing about an event that took place in the past. In that case, capitalize full name or nearly full name: Division of Education; Math and Natural Sciences.

Uppercase when using full or nearly full name: School of Social Sciences; Arts and Humanities.

QCArts is an acceptable second reference for the college’s School of Arts.

**Time and Place Guidelines**

**BC/AD or BCE/CE**
We use BC and AD.

**Dates**
Spell out days and months in complete dates, and use cardinals (10) rather than ordinals (10th): Friday, July 10, 2009.

For incomplete dates, use unabbreviated month and year without a comma: February 2009.

**Season and semester**
fall semester (no caps). When the year is included, capitalize the seasons: Fall 2024 semester.

**State names**
Spell out states in text blocks: Tuition for New York State residents is…

For snail-mail addresses, use two-letter Post Office abbreviations, without periods.

**Street addresses**
For complete addresses, use standard abbreviations for avenue (Ave.), boulevard, parkway, place, road, and street.

Use ordinal numerals for streets named for numbers: 174-15 73rd Ave. (without superscripts).

For incomplete addresses in full sentences and text blocks, spell out avenue, etc.: Enter by main gate at Kissena Boulevard.

**Times of day**
Lowercase am, pm; no periods.

Omit minutes for whole hours: 10 am (not 10:00 am).

**Zip codes**
Ours is 11367-1597. If you have all nine for other addressees, fine, but if you can’t find them easily, five is enough.

**General Guidelines**

**Degrees**
No periods in abbreviations such as BA, PhD, etc.

Lowercase nonspecific degrees and use an apostrophe s: bachelor’s degree, master’s degree.

Use capitals and lose the apostrophe for specific degrees: Bachelor of Business Administration, Master of Music.
**Ethnic identification**
In academic contexts, no hyphen in compound proper modifiers: Asian American, Italian American.

Capitalize proper nouns and adjectives related to ethnicity, nationality, and religion: Latina, Burmese, Sikh. Uppercase Black and Indigenous; lowercase white.

The preferred term for an adherent of Islam is Muslim, not Moslem.

**Gender**
Choose gender-neutral language, if possible, where gender isn’t or shouldn’t be an issue, but don’t make oneself crazy about it. “They” is an acceptable choice for the singular form. Theoretically, if plural doesn’t work, “she” would be a better choice than “he”—more than half of the QC student body is female.

**Honorifics**
None.

**Institutional abbreviations**
QC, CUNY, and SUNY need no explanation. To introduce an abbreviation, put the abbreviation in parentheses immediately after the first use: The Continuing Education Program (CEP) offers opportunities for life-long learning.

**Middle initials**
Better to avoid, but use to distinguish between two same-named persons in the same field.

**Numbers**
In most uses, spell out one to nine; ten and above, use numerals. Treat ordinals the same way.

Exceptions: When the use involves years—8-year-old child, 2-year course of study—or for percentages.

Sports scores are expressed with figures.

1990s (no apostrophe)

**Phone and fax numbers**
No preliminary 1, no parentheses: 718-997-4498.

**Titles (academic and administrative)**
Cap before name (President Frank H. Wu), lowercase after (Frank H. Wu, president of QC).

No degrees in first references and no titles or honorifics in second references.

**Titles (compositions)**
Italicize the titles of books, movies, plays, and other theatrical productions, art exhibits, conferences. Musical compositions with a name—*Carmen, La Mer*—are italicized, as are titles of CDs. Musical compositions with a generic name, with or without a number—Symphony No. 9, Sonata in F Major—are not.

Short stories, essays, song titles, and TV shows take quotes.

**Voice**
Queens College is a “we,” not an “it.”

When describing a particular program, it’s okay to address readers directly as “you.”